

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT TACOMA

7 GEORGE O. MITCHELL,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 KELLY J. CUNNINGHAM, DR. THOMAS,
11 BELL, RANDAL GRIFFITH, CHRISTINE
HAUETER, and PAUL TEMPOSKY,

12 Defendants.

No. C12-5403 BHS/KLS

ORDER TO AMEND OR SHOW CAUSE

13 This matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom pursuant to 28
14 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules MJR 3 and 4. Plaintiff has been granted leave to proceed *in*
15 *forma pauperis*. Presently before the Court for review is Plaintiff's proposed "Complaint for
16 Medical Negligence and Damages". ECF No. 4. The Court will not direct service of Plaintiff's
17 complaint at this time because it is deficient, as is explained in further detail below. Plaintiff will
18 be given an opportunity to amend his complaint.
19

20 **DISCUSSION**

21 The Court "may act on its own initiative to note the inadequacy of a complaint and
22 dismiss it for failure to state a claim" upon which relief may be granted. *Wong v. Bell*, 642 F.2d
23 359, 361 (9th Cir. 1981) (citing 5 C. WRIGHT & A. MILLER, Federal Practice and Procedure, s
24 1357 at 593 (1969)); see also *Sparling v. Hoffman Construction Co. Inc.*, 864 F.2d 635, 638 (9th
25 Cir. 1988); *Omar v. Sea-Land Service, Inc.*, 813 F.2d 986, 991 (9th Cir. 1987) (court may *sua*
26

1 *sponte* invoke Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) to dismiss deficient complaint); *Crawford v. Bell*, 599
2 F.2d 890, 893 (9th Cir. 1979). A complaint or portion thereof, will be dismissed for failure to
3 state a claim upon which relief may be granted if it appears the “[f]actual allegations . . . [fail to]
4 raise a right to relief above the speculative level, on the assumption that all the allegations in the
5 complaint are true.” *See Bell Atlantic, Corp. v. Twombly*, 540 U.S. 544, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 1965
6 (2007) (citations omitted). In other words, failure to present enough facts to state a claim for
7 relief that is plausible on the face of the complaint will subject that complaint to dismissal. *Id.* at
8 1974.

10 The Court must give a plaintiff both “notice of its intention to dismiss” and “some
11 opportunity to respond,” however, unless plaintiff “cannot possibly win relief.” *Sparling*, 864
12 F.2d at 638 (quoting *Wong*, 642 F.2d at 362)). The Court must construe the pleading in the light
13 most favorable to plaintiff and resolve all doubts in plaintiff’s favor. *Jenkins v. McKeithen*, 395
14 U.S. 411, 421 (1969).

16 Accordingly, while the Court finds that dismissal of Plaintiff’s complaint under Fed. R.
17 Civ. P. 12(b)(6) is proper for the reasons set forth below, the Court is issuing this order to show
18 cause in order to give Plaintiff an opportunity to file a response or file an amended complaint.

19 Although Plaintiff notes in the caption of his complaint that he is suing for “violations of
20 Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983, § 1988”, Plaintiffs alleges only claims for medical negligence in his
21 complaint. ECF No. 4, at 8.

23 To state a claim under § 1983, a plaintiff must allege facts showing (1) the conduct about
24 which he complains was committed by a person acting under the color of state law; and (2) the
25 conduct deprived him of a federal constitutional or statutory right. *Wood v. Ostrander*, 879 F.2d
26 583, 587 (9th Cir.1989). In addition, to state a valid § 1983 claim, a plaintiff must allege that he

1 suffered a specific injury as a result of the conduct of a particular defendant, and he must allege
2 an affirmative link between the injury and the conduct of that defendant. *Rizzo v. Goode*, 423
3 U.S. 362, 371–72, 377, 96 S.Ct. 598, 46 L.Ed.2d 561 (1976).

4 The Eighth Amendment proscribes deliberate indifference to a prisoner’s serious medical
5 needs. *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 103, 97 S.Ct. 285, 50 L.Ed.2d 251 (1976). To establish
6 “deliberate indifference,” a prisoner must show that a defendant purposefully ignored or failed to
7 respond to the prisoner’s pain or possible medical need. *Id.* at 104. A determination of
8 “deliberate indifference” involves an examination of two elements: (1) the seriousness of the
9 prisoner’s medical need; and (2) the nature of the defendant’s response to that need. *McGuckin v.*
10 *Smith*, 974 F.2d 1050, 1059 (9th Cir.1992).

12 In order to establish deliberate indifference there must first be a purposeful act or failure
13 to act on the part of the defendant. *Id.* at 1060. A difference of opinion between a prisoner and
14 medical authorities regarding proper medical treatment does not give rise to a § 1983 claim.
15 *Franklin v. Oregon, State Welfare Div.*, 662 F.2d 1337, 1344 (9th Cir.1981). Mere negligence
16 in diagnosing or treating a medical condition, without more, does not violate a prisoner’s Eighth
17 Amendment rights. *Hutchinson v. United States*, 838 F.2d 390, 394 (9th Cir.1988). Further, a
18 prisoner can make no claim for deliberate medical indifference unless the denial was harmful.
19 *McGuckin*, 974 F.2d at 1060; *Shapely v. Nevada Bd. of State Prison Comm’rs.*, 766 F.2d 404,
20 407 (9th Cir.1985).

22 In addition, Plaintiff is not an attorney and is therefore not entitled to attorney’s fees even
23 if he prevails in his civil rights action. *See Gonzalez v. Kangas*, 814 F.2d 1411 (9th Cir. 1987).
24 Therefore, his claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1988 is without merit.
25
26

1 Due to the deficiencies described above, the Court will not serve the complaint. Plaintiff
2 may file an amended complaint curing, if possible, the above noted deficiencies, or show cause
3 explaining why this matter should not be dismissed no later than **June 29, 2012**. If Plaintiff
4 chooses to amend his complaint, he must demonstrate how the conditions complained of have
5 resulted in a deprivation of his constitutional rights. The complaint must allege in specific terms
6 how each named defendant is involved. The amended complaint must set forth all of Plaintiff's
7 factual claims, causes of action, and claims for relief. Plaintiff shall set forth his factual
8 allegations **in separately numbered paragraphs** and shall allege with specificity the following:
9

10 (1) the names of the persons who caused or personally participated in causing the
11 alleged deprivation of his constitutional rights;

12 (2) the dates on which the conduct of each Defendant allegedly took place; and

13 (3) the specific conduct or action Plaintiff alleges is unconstitutional.
14

15 An amended complaint operates as a complete substitute for (rather than a mere
16 supplement to) the present complaint. In other words, an amended complaint supersedes the
17 original in its entirety, making the original as if it never existed. Therefore, reference to a prior
18 pleading or another document is unacceptable – once Plaintiff files an amended complaint, the
19 original pleading or pleadings will no longer serve any function in this case. *See Loux v. Rhay*,
20 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967) (as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the prior
21 complaint). Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the
22 involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently alleged.
23

24 Plaintiff shall present his complaint on the form provided by the Court. The amended
25 complaint must be **legibly rewritten or retyped in its entirety**, it should be an original and not a
26 copy, it may not incorporate any part of the original complaint by reference, and it must be

1 clearly labeled the “Amended Complaint” and must contain the same cause number as this case.
2 Plaintiff should complete all sections of the court’s form. Plaintiff may attach continuation
3 pages as needed but may not attach a separate document that purports to be his amended
4 complaint. **Plaintiff is advised that he should make a short and plain statement of claims**
5 **against the defendants. He may do so by listing his complaints in separately numbered**
6 **paragraphs. He should include facts explaining how each defendant was involved in the**
7 **denial of his rights.**
8

9 The Court will screen the amended complaint to determine whether it contains factual
10 allegations linking each defendant to the alleged violations of Plaintiff's rights. The Court will
11 not authorize service of the amended complaint on any Defendant who is not specifically linked
12 to the violation of Plaintiff's rights.

13 If Plaintiff decides to file an amended civil rights complaint in this action, he is cautioned
14 that if the amended complaint is not timely filed or if he fails to adequately address the issues
15 raised herein on or before **June 29, 2012**, the Court will recommend dismissal of this action as
16 frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and the dismissal will count as a “strike” under 28 U.S.C.
17 § 1915(g). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), enacted April 26, 1996, a prisoner who brings three
18 or more civil actions or appeals which are dismissed on grounds they are legally frivolous,
19 malicious, or fail to state a claim, will be precluded from bringing any other civil action or
20 appeal in forma pauperis “unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical
21 injury.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
22
23

24 //

25 //

1 The Clerk is directed to send Plaintiff the appropriate forms for filing a 42 U.S.C.
2 1983 civil rights complaint and for service. The Clerk is further directed to send a copy of
3 this Order and a copy of the General Order to Plaintiff.
4

5 **DATED** this 22nd day of May, 2012.
6

7 
8 Karen L. Strombom
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26